Lepton Number Violating Four-body Tau Decay

Han Yuan,[1,*] Yue Jiang,[1,†] Tian-hong Wang,[1,‡] Qiang Li,[1,§] and Guo-Li Wang[1,¶]

[1] *Department of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China*

arXiv:1702.04555v2 [hep-ph] 16 Feb 2017

Abstract

This paper is designed to discuss four-body lepton number violating tau decay. We study the processes $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ and $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$ to determine the nature of neutrino. The first process violates lepton number by two units which can only happen through an internal Majorana. The second one conserves lepton number but violates lepton flavor which can take place with both Majorana neutrino and Dirac neutrino. We calculate their branching ratio Br and differential branching ratio dBr/dE_π to distinguish Majorana neutrino vs. Dirac neutrino. We also propose the possibility of experiment to perform this detection.

* hanyuan@hit.edu.cn

† jiangure@hit.edu.cn; Corresponding author

‡ thwang@hit.edu.cn

§ lrhit@protonmail.com

¶ gl_wang@hit.edu.cn; Corresponding author

I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of neutrino mass has been demonstrated by many neutrino experiments [1–5]. Furthermore, the mixing angles in neutrino oscillation have been detected[6], which also means that neutrinos have masses. In this perspective, Standard Model (SM) should be expanded since in SM the neutrino is Dirac fermion with zero mass and only has left hand state (or only right hand state for anti-neutrino). Certainly there are many ways to expand SM and theoretically explain the neutrino mass such as supersymmetric (SUSY)[7, 8], see-saw model[9] and extended $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_x$ (331) models[10]. However before expanding SM, we still have fundamental questions about neutrino physics. All the other fermions of SM are Dirac ones, but we are still not sure whether the neutrino is a Majorana [11] neutrino or a Dirac neutrino.

Majorana nature of neutrino is attractive, since Majorana neutrino and its anti particle are the same that can cause $|\Delta L| = 2$ Lepton Number Violating (LNV) decays. This process is forbidden for Dirac neutrino, so it can be regarded as one method to experimentally demonstrate the nature of neutrino. The existence of heavy, mostly-sterile neutrino is also interesting, which can be a candidate for the dark matter[12], explain the supernova explosion[13], account for the baryogenesis[14] and leptogenesis[15], etc.

There are many kinds of $|\Delta L| = 2$ processes. The neutrinoless double beta decays ($0\nu\beta\beta$) in nuclei are regarded as the most sensitive way[16–18], but it is still very hard to extract neutrino nature from this sort of processes, because the nuclear matrix element is not explicit to us. Some other ways are the heavy meson decay $M_1 \to M_2\ell\ell$ [19–21], various tau decays [22–25] and pp collisions with final $\mu^\pm\mu^\pm$ and $e^\pm e^\pm$ jets[26]. Along with the energy enhanced in the LHC, LNV decays of the Higgs boson have the possibility to be discovered[27]. Furthermore Ref. [28] analyzes the sensitivity of next-generation tonne-scale neutrinoless double β-decay experiments and searches for like sign di-electrons plus jets at the LHC to TeV scale lepton number violating interactions. Sometimes baryon number violating is also connected with lepton number violating [24, 29]. Meson rare decays where $|\Delta L| = 2$ such as three body meson decays $M_1^+ \to \ell_1^+\ell_2^+M_2^-$ have been studied in Refs. [30–35] and four-body decays $M \to M_1\ell\ell M_2$ in Refs. [36, 37] have also been calculated seriously.

Besides the upper processes, one can also seek Majorana nature of neutrino in tau decay,

like the tau Lepton Flavor Violating (LFV) decays $\tau^- \to \ell^-\ell^+\ell^-$ (where $\ell = e$ or μ). Belle reports its result about detecting such decays with 719 million produced $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs in Ref. [25]. LHCb searches for such decays at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in Ref. [24]. Both Belle and LHCb show that $B(\tau^- \to \mu^-\mu^+\mu^-) < 10^{-8}$. Ref. [23] reportes the upper limits on the branching ratios of $|\Delta L| = 2$ tau decay $\tau^- \to \ell^+ M_1^- M_2^-$ in the order of 10^{-8}. In Ref. [38] most tau four-body decays like $\tau^- \to \nu_\tau \ell_1^- \ell_2^- M^+$ have the branching ratios close to the order of 10^{-7}. However among the decays calculated in theory, Ref. [38] suggests the largest branching fraction shown in $\tau^- \to \nu_\tau e^- e^- \pi^+$ approaches 10^{-4}. This result is very impactive and motivated for us to do more theoretical calculation in $|\Delta L| = 2$ four-body tau decay.

Specially we consider the LNV decay $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ which is induced by exchanging Majorana neutrino. However, the final active light neutrino ν_τ is missing energy in experiment whose flavor is not sure. So a similar lepton number conserve, but LFV process $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$ should be added into consideration, which is induced by exchanging either Majorana neutrino or Dirac neutrino. When the exchanging neutrino is a Dirac particle, the process happens through the neutrino-oscillation, whose probability is set as 1 in our calculation. And we will introduce how to distinguish these two processes to determine the nature of neutrino.

In our calculation, we choose the previously used phenomenology model [32], where there are $3+n$ generation Majorana neutrinos. The first 3 generations are light active neutrinos, and the other n generations are heavy sterile neutrinos. The concrete parameters including mixing parameters and neutrino masses should be determined by experiments. As well known, the rare decays induced by virtual neutrino are suppressed heavily either by the factor $\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_W^2}$ (if it is a light active neutrino) or by the mixing parameter $V_{\ell N}^2$ (if it is a heavy sterile neutrino), so these processes are hard to be detected by current experiments. But if the exchanging neutrino is on mass-shell, the corresponding decay rate will be enhanced several orders larger [32, 34, 37], which may be reached by current experiments, so we will only focus on the neutrino-resonance processes. Since the available phase space range of the exchanging neutrino mass is $m_\pi \lesssim m_N \lesssim m_\tau$ in the decay $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu$ (the final state ν, which could be ν_e or ν_τ, is the light active neutrino), so it is heavy, and it should be a fourth generation sterile neutrino.

In section 2 we show the calculation of the $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ and $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$. Then

we display the result and analysis it in section 3 in particular discuss how to distinguish the Majorana neutrino and Dirac neutrino through the processes mentioned above. Lastly, in section 4 we give our conclusion.

II. CALCULATION DETAILS OF $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ AND $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$

The feynman diagram of $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ is shown in figure 1, where τ with momentum



FIG. 1. Feynman diagram of the LNV process $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$.

P, neutrino ν_τ with momentum P_1, two electron with momentum P_2 and P_3 and meson π with momentum P_4. As we know the decay $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ is forbidden under the frame of SM. Because it violates the Lepton number by two units. However if the propagater in this process is not traditional type neutrino but Majorana neutrino, this processe may occur. Following previous studies [32, 39], the charged current interaction lagrangian for this $|\Delta L| = 2$ decay in terms of neutrino mass eigenstates is

$$\mathcal{L}_{cc} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ \left(\sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} \sum_{m=1}^{3} U_{\ell m}^{l\nu *} \bar{\nu}_m \gamma^\mu P_L \ell + \sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} \sum_{m'=4}^{3+n} V_{\ell m'}^{lN*} \bar{N}_{m'}^c \gamma^\mu P_L \ell \right) + \text{h.c.}, \tag{1}$$

where $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$, $U_{\ell m}$ and $V_{\ell m'}$ are mixing matrices, ν_m and $N_{m'}$ are neutrino mass eigenstates and $P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)$. There are $3 + n$ generation neutrinos: when $m = 1, 2, 3$ they are active neutrinos whose masses $m_{\nu_m} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{eV})$[40] and mixing parameter $U_{\ell m}^{l\nu *}$ is large [32]. When $m \geq 4$, they are heavy sterile neutrinos whose masses $m_{N'_m} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{MeV} - \text{GeV})$ and mixing parameter $V_{\ell m'}^{lN*}$ is small. Considering Fig. 1, the light neutrino propagator's contribution will be suppressed by the small neutrino mass $\frac{m_{\nu_m}^2}{M_W^2}$. So we drop the $\sum_{m=1}^{3}$ part. In principle all the heavy Majorana neutrinos will contribute to the amplitude. But as the heavy Majorana neutrino mass gets larger, the mixing matrix element $V_{\ell m'}$ goes

smaller. So we only consider the lightest heavy Majorana neutrino which should be the fourth generation if exist. Thus the considered charged current interaction lagrangian can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_{cc} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ \sum_{\ell=e}^{\tau} V_{\ell 4}^* \bar{N}_4^c \gamma^\mu P_L \ell + \text{h.c.}. \tag{2}$$

From the lagrangian we can get the propagator of heavy Majorana neutrino

$$\frac{\not{q} + m_4}{q^2 - m_4^2 + i\Gamma_{N_4} m_4}, \tag{3}$$

where q is the momentum of heavy Majorana neutrino and Γ_{N_4} is the decay width of heavy Majorana neutrino. We can see the heavy Majorana neutrino contribution has a resonant enhancement when $q^2 \approx m_4^2$, so from now on we choose it on mass shell.

The amplitude of $\tau^+ \to e^+ e^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{g^2 V_{ud}}{8 M_W^4} \bar{v}(P) \gamma^\mu (1-\gamma_5) v(P_1) \times \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \times \langle \pi(P_4) | \bar{q}_1 \gamma^\nu (1-\gamma_5) q_2 | 0 \rangle, \tag{4}$$

where the momentum dependence in the propagator of W boson has been ignored since it is much smaller than the W mass; g is the weak coupling constant; V_{ud} is the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element between quarks $\bar{u}$ and d in π; $\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}$ is the transition amplitude of the leptonic part.

The $|\Delta L| = 2$ leptonic part can be separated from the whole process, and the feynman diagram for this part is shown in Fig. 2. We follow Ref. [41] to write the amplitude of



FIG. 2. Feynman diagram for the $|\Delta L| = 2$ leptonic part of the LNV process $\tau^+ \to e^+ e^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$.

this part. First we need to draw a fermion flow line. This fermion flow starts from e_1^+ and points to e_2^+. The specific situation can be found in Fig. 2. Then we write from an external leg proceeding opposite to the chosen orientation (fermion flow) through the chain. The amplitude is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{g^2}{2} |V_{e4}|^2 m_4 \frac{\bar{u}(P_3) \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu P_L v(P_2)}{q^2 - m_4^2 + i\Gamma_{N_4} m_4}. \tag{5}$$

The third term in the right side of Eq. (4) can be described with the decay constant of meson π. As π is a pseudoscalar, the amplitude can be written as

$$\langle \pi(P_4)|\bar{q}_1\gamma^\nu(1-\gamma_5)q_2|0\rangle = iF_\pi P_4^\nu, \tag{6}$$

where F_π is the decay constant of π.

Combining Eq. (5) and Eq. (6), Eq. (4) can be written as

$$\mathcal{M} = 2G_F^2|V_{e4}|^2V_{ud}F_\pi m_4\bar{v}(P)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)v(P_1)\bar{u}(P_3)\frac{\gamma^\mu \not{P}_4}{(P_3+P_4)^2-m_4^2+i\Gamma_N m_4}P_L v(P_2), \tag{7}$$

where G_F is Fermi constant.

As discussed above, process $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$ should be added into consideration. The Feynman diagram is drawn in Fig. 3, where the neutrino propagator can be a Majorana or



FIG. 3. Feynman diagram of the LFV process $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$.

a Dirac neutrino. Analysising these two processes we found that if N is Majorana neutrino these two processes (Fig. 1 and Fig. 3) should occur, otherwise only the second one (Fig. 3) is possible for Dirac neutrino. So for $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$ with Majorana neutrino we use the normal feynman rules in SM to write the amplitude

$$\mathcal{M} = 2G_F^2V_{e4}V_{\tau 4}V_{ud}F_\pi\bar{u}(P_1)\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)v(P_2)\bar{v}(P)\gamma^\mu\frac{\not{q}\not{P}_4}{q^2-m_4^2+i\Gamma_N m_4}P_L v(P_3). \tag{8}$$

Thus whether the propagator is Dirac neutrino or Majorana neutrino, the amplitudes are the same.

Considering resonant enhancement, we choose the intermediate neutrino on mass-shell with enough life time. Then the process $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ can be separated into two parts, $\tau^+ \to e^+\nu_\tau N$ and $N \to e^+\pi^-$. So the two electrons are different and can be distinguished by vertexes in experiment. So we do not need to consider the exchange of them. And for heavy neutrino its mass is much larger than its decay width (it is a sterile neutrino with only weak interaction), so we can use Narrow Width Approximation (NWA) to simplify the phase space integration [32, 34].

III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

There are some important input parameters in our calculation, such as the decay width of neutrino and the mixing parameters between charged lepton and neutrino. In order to get the decay width of heavy neutrino we follow the method in Ref. [32], which calculated all the possible decay modes of Majorana neutrino to get its witdh Γ_{N_4}. The Majorana neutrino decay channels will include charge-conjugate channels, because its antiparticle is the same as itself. Therefore the width Γ_{N_4} is twice as large as Dirac neutrino. Thus we can get the decay width Γ_{N_4} of Dirac neutrino. Regarding our choice of neutrino mixing parameters, we follow the Ref. [42] to choose the parameters $|V_{eN}|^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $|V_{\tau N}|^2 = 1 \times 10^{-3}$. As there are no particular limits to the Dirac neutrino mixing parameters in LFV processes, so in this paper we use the same mixing parameters for both Majorana and Dirac neutrinos.

We are only interested in the processes when the exchanging neutrinos are on mass shell, but we do not calculate all the possible cases available by the phase space. In this research we choose several masses in the possible kinematics mass range such as 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4 and 1.6 GeV to get the results. We derive the branching ratios of $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu$ and $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$. They are shown in Tab. I.

TABLE I. Branching ratio of $|\Delta L| = 2$ process $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu$ and $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$.

m_4 [GeV]	$Br^{(M)}$ $(\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu)$	$Br^{(D)}$ $(\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e)$
0.5	1.37×10^{-4}	7.81×10^{-5}
0.8	4.11×10^{-5}	2.35×10^{-5}
1.0	1.07×10^{-5}	6.13×10^{-6}
1.2	2.58×10^{-6}	1.47×10^{-6}
1.4	4.30×10^{-7}	2.45×10^{-7}
1.6	2.00×10^{-8}	1.14×10^{-8}

From this table we can see that, with the same mixing parameter, the branching ratio of the internal Majorana neutrino process is much larger than the internal Dirac neutrino's. And when the heavy neutrino mass is small, we get bigger branching ratio. For example, if

the neutrino mass is about 0.5 GeV, the corresponding branching ratio is about 10^{-4}, which is quite large. But since we do not know the exact value of mixing parameter, the total branching ratio cannot be used to distinguish the $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu$ and $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$.

Physically, according to the energy and momentum conserving laws, and by the rebuilding of the vertexes, we can distinguish the two Feynman diagrams of Fig. 1 and Fig. 3. If there is only Fig. 3 exists, the exchanging heavy neutrino is Dirac neutrino; if both diagrams in Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 exist, the neutrino should be Majorana neutrino. This difference also has effects in the branching ratios and differential branching ratios. But since we do not know the exact mixing parameters for Majorana and Dirac neutrinos, the total branching ratio is not a good way to distinguish them. Nevertheless the differential branching ratios can be used to distinguish them. We calculate the differential branching ratio dBr/dE_π of $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ and $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$, which are shown in Fig. 4.

In this figure we are able to see the shape of differential branching ratios can distinguish the type of neutrino to a certain extent. Along with the increasing of neutrino mass the difference gets smaller and smaller. If the neutrino mass is 0.5 GeV, the disparity between these two curves is the largest. In Fig. 4(a) with the growing of E_π, the trend of two curves are different. If the neutrino is Dirac neutrino, the differential branching ratio rises to maximum quickly around at $E_\pi = 200$ MeV then it drops down. The extremum of the Majorana case appears around at $E_\pi = 350$ MeV then it decreases gently. From Fig. 4(d) to Fig. 4(f) the curve of Majorana neutrino almost cocoons the Dirac neutrino, which result in the difficulty to distinguish these two curves.

And we also need to consider the situation about experiment. The determination of π energy in the lab frame needs to have an uncertainty below 10 MeV to achieve the requirement of discrimination. This condition is possible in the detector of ILC, whose $\delta E/E$ can reach 10^{-5}[43]. In lab frame the final π meson carries the energy of τ, so in future experiment this detection accuracy is reachable. The branching ratio of $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau \propto |V_{e4}|^2$. We choose $|V_{e4}|^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$, thus the largest $Br \lesssim 10^{-5}$. Considering 719 million $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs produced at Belle, it is possible to achieve this detection.



FIG. 4. The differential branching ratio dBr/dE_π (GeV^{-1}) as a function of π energy in the τ rest frame, for the decays $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu$, for different neutrino mass: 4(a) $m_N = 0.5$ GeV; 4(b) $m_N = 0.8$ GeV; 4(c) $m_N = 1.0$ GeV; 4(d) $m_N = 1.2$ GeV; 4(e) $m_N = 1.4$ GeV; 4(f) $m_N = 1.6$ GeV. In each there are two curves, corresponding to different type of neutrino. The red solid curve represents the case of Majorana neutrino and the black dash curve represents the Dirac neutrino.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We choose τ lepton decays $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_\tau$ and $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$ to determine the nature of neutrino. First, if either decay takes place, it means that there are heavy sterile neutrino exist. Second, basically we can distinguish these two decays by energy and momentum conserving laws. If both cases occur, the exchanging neutrino is Majorana neutrino; if only process $\tau^+ \to e^+e^+\pi^-\nu_e$ occur, the neutrino is Dirac neutrino. The nature of neutrino can also be determined by the differential branching ratio dBr/dE_π in some extent. In our calculation, the internal exchanging heavy sterile neutrino is on mass-shell, which will enhance the decay rate by several orders and make the detection of these decays possible in current and near future experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Tao Han for his suggestions to carry out this research and providing the FORTRAN codes hanlib for the calculations. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under grant No. 11405037, 11575048 and 11505039.

---

[1] Y. Fukuda *et al.* (Super-Kamiokande), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998), arXiv:hep-ex/9807003 [hep-ex].

[2] K. Eguchi *et al.* (KamLAND), Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 021802 (2003), arXiv:hep-ex/0212021 [hep-ex].

[3] S. N. Ahmed *et al.* (SNO), Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 181301 (2004), arXiv:nucl-ex/0309004 [nucl-ex].

[4] J. Argyriades *et al.* (NEMO), Phys. Rev. **C80**, 032501 (2009), arXiv:0810.0248 [hep-ex].

[5] R. Wendell *et al.* (Super-Kamiokande), Phys. Rev. **D81**, 092004 (2010), arXiv:1002.3471 [hep-ex].

[6] F. P. An *et al.* (Daya Bay), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 171803 (2012), arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex].

[7] P. T. Giang, L. T. Hue, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long, Nucl. Phys. **B864**, 85 (2012), arXiv:1204.2902 [hep-ph].

[8] L. T. Hue, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long, Nucl. Phys. **B873**, 207 (2013), arXiv:1301.4652 [hep-ph].

[9] D. N. Dinh and S. T. Petcov, JHEP **09**, 086 (2013), arXiv:1308.4311 [hep-ph].

[10] R. M. Fonseca and M. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 115003 (2016), arXiv:1607.06328 [hep-ph].

[11] E. Majorana, Nuovo Cim. **14**, 171 (1937).

[12] S. Dodelson and L. M. Widrow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 17 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9303287 [hep-ph].

[13] G. M. Fuller, A. Kusenko, and K. Petraki, Phys. Lett. **B670**, 281 (2009), arXiv:0806.4273 [astro-ph].

[14] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B174**, 45 (1986).

[15] W. Buchmuller, R. D. Peccei, and T. Yanagida, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **55**, 311 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0502169 [hep-ph].

[16] G. Racah, Nuovo Cim. **14**, 322 (1937).

[17] W. H. Furry, Phys. Rev. **56**, 1184 (1939).

[18] M. Doi, T. Kotani, and E. Takasugi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **83**, 1 (1985).

[19] O. Seon *et al.* (BELLE), Phys. Rev. **D84**, 071106 (2011), arXiv:1107.0642 [hep-ex].

[20] J. P. Lees *et al.* (BaBar), Phys. Rev. **D85**, 071103 (2012), arXiv:1202.3650 [hep-ex].

[21] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 131802 (2014), arXiv:1401.5361 [hep-ex].

[22] Y. Miyazaki *et al.* (Belle), Phys. Lett. **B719**, 346 (2013), arXiv:1206.5595 [hep-ex].

[23] Y. Miyazaki *et al.* (Belle), Phys. Lett. **B682**, 355 (2010), arXiv:0908.3156 [hep-ex].

[24] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), Phys. Lett. **B724**, 36 (2013), arXiv:1304.4518 [hep-ex].

[25] K. Hayasaka *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B687**, 139 (2010), arXiv:1001.3221 [hep-ex].

[26] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS), Phys. Lett. **B717**, 109 (2012), arXiv:1207.6079 [hep-ex].

[27] A. Maiezza, M. Nemevek, and F. Nesti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 081802 (2015), arXiv:1503.06834 [hep-ph].

[28] T. Peng, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and P. Winslow, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 093002 (2016), arXiv:1508.04444 [hep-ph].

[29] M. E. McCracken *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 072002 (2015), arXiv:1507.03859 [hep-ex].

[30] L. S. Littenberg and R. E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 443 (1992).

[31] L. S. Littenberg and R. Shrock, Phys. Lett. **B491**, 285 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0005285 [hep-ph].

[32] A. Atre, T. Han, S. Pascoli, and B. Zhang, JHEP **05**, 030 (2009), arXiv:0901.3589 [hep-ph].

[33] G. Cvetic, C. Dib, S. K. Kang, and C. S. Kim, Phys. Rev. **D82**, 053010 (2010), arXiv:1005.4282 [hep-ph].

[34] J.-M. Zhang and G.-L. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1715 (2011), arXiv:1003.5570 [hep-ph].

[35] C.-Y. Chen and P. S. B. Dev, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 093018 (2012), arXiv:1112.6419 [hep-ph].

[36] N. Quintero, G. Lopez Castro, and D. Delepine, Phys. Rev. **D84**, 096011 (2011), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D86,079905(2012)], arXiv:1108.6009 [hep-ph].

[37] H. Yuan, T. Wang, G.-L. Wang, W.-L. Ju, and J.-M. Zhang, JHEP **08**, 066 (2013), arXiv:1304.3810 [hep-ph].

[38] G. Lopez Castro and N. Quintero, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 076006 (2012), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D86,079904(2012)], arXiv:1203.0537 [hep-ph].

[39] S. Bar-Shalom, N. G. Deshpande, G. Eilam, J. Jiang, and A. Soni, Phys. Lett. **B643**, 342 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0608309 [hep-ph].

[40] U. Seljak *et al.* (SDSS), Phys. Rev. **D71**, 103515 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0407372 [astro-ph].

[41] A. Denner, H. Eck, O. Hahn, and J. Kublbeck, Nucl. Phys. **B387**, 467 (1992).

[42] T. Han, I. Lewis, R. Ruiz, and Z.-g. Si, Phys. Rev. **D87**, 035011 (2013), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D87,no.3,039906(2013)], arXiv:1211.6447 [hep-ph].

[43] C. Adolphsen, M. Barone, B. Barish, K. Buesser, P. Burrows, J. Carwardine, J. Clark, H. Mainaud Durand, G. Dugan, E. Elsen, *et al.*, (2013), arXiv:1306.6328 [physics.acc-ph].